UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,396	09/23/2003	John C. Goodwin III	11486.00	2509	
26884 PAUL W. MA	7590 12/31/2007 RTIN		EXAM	XAMINER	
NCR CORPOR	RATION, LAW DEPT.		ARAQUE JR,	GERARDO	
1700 S. PATTI DAYTON, OH	ERSON BLVD. I 45479-0001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>B.</i> 1110, 01.			3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/31/2007	PAPER.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		10/668,396	GOODWIN, JOHN C.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Gerardo Araque Jr.	3629	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·		
Period f	or Reply		•	
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	ovember 2007	•	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims	• •		
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7,9-18 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9-18 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	;u.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

10/668,396 Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. **Claim 18** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the third identification" in lines 2 & 3 of claim18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the second identification" in line 2 of claim 18.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10/668,396 Art Unit: 3629

- 7. Claim 1 5, 7, 14 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowers et al. (US Patent 6,025,780).
- 8. In regards to **claim 1**, **Bowers** discloses a method of distinguishing items for sale by a store from personal items brought into the store by a shopper comprising the steps of:

stored item identification information associated with radio frequency identification (RFID) labels on items for sale by the store in an inventory file (Col. 2 Lines 15 – 20; Col. 6 Lines 50 – 53; Col 12 Lines 6 - 8);

reading RFID labels of items read by an RFID label reader as part of a purchase transaction to obtain identification information (Col. 1 Lines 22 – 45);

comparing the read identification information to the stored identification information to determine whether each read RFID label is associated with an item for sale (Col. 2 Lines 29 – 34, 49 - 53); and

ignoring the read identification information of any read RFID labels determined not to be associated with an item for sale as being associated with the personal items brought into the store by the shopper (Col. 9 - 10 Lines 15 – 33).

9. In regards to claim 2, Bowers discloses completing the purchase transaction for items having RFID labels associated with items for sale (inherently included); and updating the inventory file to mark any items for which the purchase transaction was completed as sold (Col. 2 Lines 15 – 26; Col. 9 - 10 Lines 15 – 33).

10/668,396 Art Unit: 3629

10. In regards to **claim 3**, **Bowers** discloses reading an RFID label to obtain item identification information for an item read as the shopper exits the store (**Col. 9 - 10 Lines 15 - 33**);

utilizing the updated inventory file to determine if the RFID label is associated a personal item, an item for sale, or a sold item (Col. 9 - 10 Lines 15 – 33).

- 11. In regards to **claim 4**, **Bowers** discloses displaying an alert on a security read display if it is determined the item is an item for sale (**Col. 10 Lines 5 12**).
- 12. In regards to **claim 5**, **Bowers** discloses utilizing transaction software to create a shopper transaction to create a shopper transaction record identified by a unique transaction number and indicating purchased items of a receipt (**Col. 9 10 Lines 54 5**).
- 13. In regards to **claim 7**, **Bowers** discloses purging items marked as sold from the inventory file (**Col. 3 Lines 8 11**).
- 14. In regards to **claim 14**, **Bowers** discloses a system for distinguishing items for sale by a store from personal items brought into the store by a shopper comprising:

a label reader for reading radio frequency identification (RFID) label on items the shopper possesses at the time of a purchase transaction (Col. 1 Lines 27 – 36);

memory for storing an inventory file of stored item identification information associated with RFI labels on items for sale by the store (Col. 2 Lines 15 - 26); and

a computer for obtaining identification information from the RFID labels on the items the shopper possesses from the label reader, for comparing the read identification information to the stored identification information associated with the items for sale by

10/668,396 Art Unit: 3629

the store to determine whether each read RFID label is associated with an item for sale, and for ignoring the read identification information of any read RFID labels determined not to be associated with an item for sale as being associated with the personal items brought into the store by the shopper (Col. 9 - 10 Lines 15 – 33).

- 15. In regards to **claim 15**, **Bowers** discloses, wherein the computer comprises a transaction computer which is operated to complete a purchase transaction for items having RFID labels associated with an item for sale (**Col. 9 10 Lines 15 33**).
- 16. In regards to **claim 16**, **Bowers** discloses wherein the computer further operates to update the inventory file to mark any items for which the purchase transaction was completed as sold **(Col. 1 Lines 15 26)**.
- 17. In regards to **claim 17**, **Bowers** discloses a security computer which determines that a label read as the shopper exits the store is for an item for sale and not marked sold **(Col. 10 Lines 5 12)**.
- 18. In regards to **claim 18**, **Bowers** discloses wherein the security computer controls display of an alert on a security display if it is determined the label read as the shopper exits the store is for an item for sale and not marked sold (Col. 10 Lines 5 12).
- 19. In regards to **claim 21**, **Bowers** discloses, wherein the computer also purges the inventory file to eliminate any items marked as sold **(Col. 3 Lines 8 11)**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

10/668,396 Art Unit: 3629

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 21. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bowers** et al. (US Patent 6,025,780).
- 22. In regards to **claim 6**, **Bowers** fails to disclose utilizing a card reader to accept a payment card. However, **Bowers** does disclose that the method and system is used in a retail environment. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that there are several methods of making a payment at a retail store, which would include the use of a credit card, gift card, or the like. As a result, it would have been obvious for a card reader to be present in order to carry out such a transaction.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of the teachings of **Bowers** to include a card reader in the event that the customer would pay for the items with a credit card, gift card, or the like.

Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

24. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/668,396 Art Unit: 3629

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerardo Araque Jr. whose telephone number is (571)272-3747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

DENNIS RUHL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GA 1212/23/07